AJINOMOTO (MALAYSIA) BERHAD

("Aji" or "the Company")

[Registration No. 196101000252 (4295-W)] (Incorporated in Malaysia)

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES TO ASSESS THE SUITABILITY, OBJECTIVITY AND INDEPENDENCE OF EXTERNAL AUDITORS (revised and adopted on 26 May 2022)

Introduction

Practice 9.3 of the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance ("MCCG") stipulates that the Audit Committee ("AC") should have policies and procedures to assess the suitability, objectivity and independence of the external auditors. In addition, Guidance 9.3 of the MCCG stipulates that the AC should consider the following in assessing the suitability, objectivity and independence of the external auditors:-

- the competence, audit quality and resource capacity of the external auditor in relation to the audit;
- the nature and extent of the non-audit services rendered and the appropriateness of audit fees to support a quality audit;
- requirements for non-audit services to be approved by the AC before they are rendered by the external auditors; and
- obtaining written assurance from the external auditors confirming that they are, and have been, independent throughout the conduct of the audit engagement in accordance with the terms of all relevant professional and regulatory requirements.

The AC should conduct the assessment of the suitability, objectivity and independence of the external audit firm annually.

The Policies and Procedures to Assess the Suitability, Objectivity and Independence of External Auditors (the "**Policy**") sets out the criteria which the AC should consider in assessing the suitability, objectivity and independence of the external auditors.

Assessment Criteria

Some of the matters for the AC's consideration regarding the appointment, reappointment and removal of Aji's external auditors include:-

(i) Fees

The external auditors must provide a fee quotation for its audit services. However, price will not be the sole determining factor of suitability.

(ii) Competence, audit quality and resource capacity

The AC shall consider the audit firm's reputation and the qualifications of its professionals, including the breadth and depth of resources, expertise and experience of the team members. Their networking ability and competency to address any complex issues, are equally important to assess its suitability.

In addition, the information presented in the Annual Transparency Report¹ of the audit firm shall also be considered in evaluating an external audit firm's capabilities, as follows:-

- a) audit firm's legal and governance structure;
- b) measures taken by the audit firm to uphold audit quality and manage risks; and
- c) information about the audit firm's indicators of audit quality.

If the audit firm is not required to issue an Annual Transparency Report, the AC is encouraged to engage the audit firm on the matters typically covered in an Annual Transparency Report including the audit firm's governance and leadership structure as well as measures undertaken by the audit firm to uphold audit quality and manage risks.

(iii) Non-audit work

All engagements of the external auditors to provide non-audit services are subject to the necessary approvals outlined in the Policy.

(iv) Independence

A candidate must satisfy the AC that it is independent and outline the procedures it has in place to maintain its independence.

The independence of the external auditors is integral to the role of auditors and the AC shall give due consideration to this requirement when putting forward a recommendation to the Board.

The external auditors are precluded from providing any services that may impair their independence or conflict with their role as external auditors. A written assurance from the external auditors confirming that they are, and have been, independent throughout the conduct of the audit engagement in accordance with the terms of all relevant professional and regulatory requirements is to be given to the Company.

A former partner of the external audit firm of the Company has to observe a cooling-off period of at least three (3) years before being appointed as a member of the AC to safeguard the independence of the audit by avoiding the potential threats which may arise when a former partner is in a position to exert significant influence over the audit and preparation of the Company's financial statements.

This applies to all former partners of the audit firm and/or the affiliate firm (entities that are related to the audit firm including those providing advisory services, tax consulting and etc).

(v) Material matters

A candidate must outline its proposed procedures to address the issue of material significance or matter of disagreement with the Management. The external auditors is required to disclose to the AC all issues of material significance and all matters of disagreement with the Management, whether resolved or unresolved, and to assist the AC to review such matters.

¹ Audit firms registered with the Audit Oversight Board (AOB) with more than fifty (50) public interest entity (PIE) audit clients; and total market capitalisation of the audit firm's PIE clients above RM10 billion at the end of the calendar year for two (2) consecutive years are required to issue an Annual Transparency Report. For other AOB-registered audit firms that do not meet the above criteria, they are encouraged to issue an Annual Transparency Report.

Approval of non-audit services

All engagements of the external auditors to provide non-audit services which value in aggregate exceeds 50% of the latest Company's audit fees are subject to the approval/endorsement of the AC. Prior approval of the AC must be obtained before commencement of these non-audit services.

Monitoring and Reporting

The Chief Finance Officer shall report to the AC on an annual basis on any significant non-audit services and its related fees on non-audit rendered to the Company by the external auditors.

Rotation of External Audit Engagement Partner

In compliance with the requirements of the Malaysia Institute of Accountants, the external auditors rotate their engagement partners and engagement quality control reviewer assigned to the Company every five (5) years.

Review of the Policy

The AC will review regularly and assess the effectiveness of the Policy. Any requirement for amendment shall be deliberated by the AC and any recommendation for revisions shall be highlighted to the Board for approval.

Revision to the Policy

This Policy shall be reviewed by the AC from time to time as necessary to ensure the Policy remains relevant and viable to meet the needs of the Company. Any revision to the Policy shall be recommended to the Board for consideration and approval.

The rest of this page is intentionally left blank